

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bex 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/803,655	03/12/2001	Tatsuya Uchikawa	OSP-10234	6604	
7590 08/07/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
McGinn & Gibb, PLLC, Suite 200 8321 Old Courthouse Road			LEURIG, SHARLENE L		
Vienna, VA 22182-3817			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	76
Advisory Action	09/803,655	UCHIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Sharlene Leurig	2879	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated) a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejecti E FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final	ion. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	Brief must be filed within the pe		
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	` ''	r tne appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		NOTE balance	
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	•	-i-11	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sil	mpiliying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>12,13,20,21,23 and 26</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-11,14-19,22,24,25 and 27</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exam	iner.
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 1	2,13.	
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1 and 6 narrow the scope of the claims so that further consideration is required.

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800